Java ReentrantLock (Part 5)



Douglas C. Schmidt

<u>d.schmidt@vanderbilt.edu</u>

www.dre.vanderbilt.edu/~schmidt

Institute for Software Integrated Systems Vanderbilt University Nashville, Tennessee, USA



Learning Objectives in this Part of the Lesson

- Understand how the concept of mutual exclusion in concurrent programs
- Recognize how Java ReentrantLock provides mutual exclusion to concurrent programs
- Know the key methods defined by the Java ReentrantLock class
- Learn how to use ReentrantLock in Java programs
- Appreciate Java ReentrantLock usage considerations



 ReentrantLock must be used via a "fully bracketed" protocol



The thread that acquires the lock **must** be the one to release it

- ReentrantLock must be used via a "fully bracketed" protocol
 - This design is known as the "Scoped Locking" pattern

The finally clause ensures that the lock is released on all paths out the try clause

```
begin ## Enter the critical section.

## Acquire the lock automatically.

## Execute the critical section.

do_something ();

## Release the lock automatically.

end ## Leave the critical section.
```

See www.dre.vanderbilt.edu/~schmidt/PDF/locking-patterns.pdf

- ReentrantLock must be used via a "fully bracketed" protocol
 - This design is known as the "Scoped Locking" pattern
 - Implemented implicitly via Java synchronized methods & statements

```
void someMethod() {
    synchronized (this) {
        ...
    }
}
```


- ReentrantLock must be used via a "fully bracketed" protocol
 - This design is known as the "Scoped Locking" pattern
 - Implemented implicitly via
 Java synchronized methods
 & statements
 - This pattern is commonly used in C++ (& C#) via constructors & destructors

```
void write_to_file
   (std::ofstream &file,
      const std::string &msg)
{
   static std::mutex mutex;

   std::lock_guard<std::mutex>
      lock(mutex);


   file << msg << std::endl;
}</pre>
```

 ReentrantLock supports "recursive mutex" semantics where a lock may be acquired multiple times by the same thread, without causing self-deadlock




See en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Reentrant_mutex

ReentrantLocks can be tedious
 & error-prone to program due
 to common traps & pitfalls




- ReentrantLocks can be tedious
 & error-prone to program due
 to common traps & pitfalls, e.g.
 - Holding a lock for a long time without needing it



```
void someMethod() {
  ReentrantLock lock
    = this.lock;
  lock.lock();
  try {
    for (;;) {
      // Do something that
      // doesn't involve lock
  } finally {
    lock.unlock();
```

- ReentrantLocks can be tedious
 & error-prone to program due
 to common traps & pitfalls, e.g.
 - Holding a lock for a long time without needing it
 - Acquiring a lock & forgetting to release it



- ReentrantLocks can be tedious
 & error-prone to program due
 to common traps & pitfalls, e.g.
 - Holding a lock for a long time without needing it
 - Acquiring a lock & forgetting to release it
 - Releasing a lock that was never acquired
 - or has already been released

```
void someMethod() {
  ReentrantLock lock
    = this.lock;
  // lock.lock();
  try {
    ... // Critical section
  } finally {
    lock.unlock();
```

- ReentrantLocks can be tedious
 & error-prone to program due
 to common traps & pitfalls, e.g.
 - Holding a lock for a long time without needing it
 - Acquiring a lock & forgetting to release it
 - Releasing a lock that was never acquired
 - Accessing a resource without acquiring a lock for it first
 - or after releasing it



End of Java ReentrantLock (Part 5)