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• Appreciate Java monitor object usage considerations
• In particular, know common traps & pitfalls of Java’s built-in monitor objects
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- Programmers must be aware of issues with Java monitor objects
- Monitor objects are limited

**Diagram:**

- **Producer**
  - `put()`
  - `take()`
  - `synchronized put()`
  - `synchronized take()`

- **Consumer**
  - `take()`

- **SimpleBlockingBoundedQueue**
  - `synchronized put()`
  - `synchronized take()`

- **Wait Queue**
  - `wait()`
  - `notify()`
  - `notifyAll()`

- **Entrance Queue**
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- Programmers must be aware of issues with Java monitor objects
  - Monitor objects are limited, e.g.
  - No non-blocking, timed, or interruptible synchronizers

See lessons on "Java ReentrantLocks" for examples of these capabilities
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- Programmers must be aware of issues with Java monitor objects
  - Monitor objects are limited, e.g.
    - No non-blocking, timed, or interruptible synchronizers
  - Only one wait queue & one entrance queue

See [www.dre.vanderbilt.edu/~schmidt/C++2Java.html#concurrency](http://www.dre.vanderbilt.edu/~schmidt/C++2Java.html#concurrency)
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• Programmers must be aware of issues with Java monitor objects

• Monitor objects are limited, e.g.
  • No non-blocking, timed, or interruptible synchronizers
  • Only one wait queue & one entrance queue
  • May yield “nested monitor lockout”

```java
public class BuggyLock {
    Object mMonObj = new Object();
    boolean mLocked;

    synchronized void lock() {
        while (mLocked)
            synchronized (mMonObj)
                mMonObj.wait();
        mLocked = true;
    }

    synchronized void unlock() {
        mLocked = false;
        synchronized (mMonObj)
            mMonObj.notify();
    }
}
```

`lock()` is a synchronized method

See [tutorials.jenkov.com/java-concurrency/nested-monitor-lockout.html](http://tutorials.jenkov.com/java-concurrency/nested-monitor-lockout.html)
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• Programmers must be aware of issues with Java monitor objects
  • Monitor objects are limited, e.g.
    • No non-blocking, timed, or interruptible synchronizers
    • Only one wait queue & one entrance queue
    • May yield “nested monitor lockout”

```java
public class BuggyLock {
    Object mMonObj = new Object();
    boolean mLocked;

    synchronized void lock() {
        while (mLocked)
            synchronized (mMonObj) {
                mMonObj.wait();
            }
        mLocked = true;
    }

    synchronized void unlock() {
        mLocked = false;
        synchronized (mMonObj) {
            mMonObj.notify();
        }
    }
}
```

_BuggyLock monitor lock is still held here, so unlock() never runs!_
Usage Considerations of Java Monitor Objects

- Programmers must be aware of issues with Java monitor objects
- Monitor objects are limited, e.g.
  - No non-blocking, timed, or interruptible synchronizers
  - Only one wait queue & one entrance queue
  - May yield "nested monitor lockout"
  - Doesn’t support “two lock queue” optimizations

```java
class LinkedBlockingQueue<E>
    extends AbstractQueue<E>
    implements BlockingQueue<E>, ...

/** Lock held by take, poll, etc */
private final ReentrantLock takeLock =
    new ReentrantLock();

/** Lock held by put, offer, etc */
private final ReentrantLock putLock =
    new ReentrantLock();
```

See [src/share/classes/java/util/concurrent/LinkedBlockingQueue.java](src/share/classes/java/util/concurrent/LinkedBlockingQueue.java)
Usage Considerations of Java Monitor Objects

- Programmers must be aware of issues with Java monitor objects
- Monitor objects are limited, e.g.
  - No non-blocking, timed, or interruptible synchronizers
  - Only one wait queue & one entrance queue
- Synchronized statements *only* support scoped locking

```java
synchronized(this) {
    ...
    // this lock is always released at the end of this block
}
```

Scoped locking is inefficient for certain concurrent algorithms, e.g., it may require redundant checks for internal state(s)
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- Programmers must be aware of issues with Java monitor objects
  - Monitor objects are limited, e.g.
    - No non-blocking, timed, or interruptible synchronizers
    - Only one wait queue & one entrance queue
    - Synchronized statements only support scoped locking
    - No support for sensible timed waits...

See stackoverflow.com/questions/3397722/how-to-differentiate-when-waitlong-timeout-exit-for-notify-or-timeout
Usage Considerations of Java Monitor Objects

- Programmers must be aware of issues with Java monitor objects
  - Monitor objects are limited
  - Choosing between notify() & notifyAll() is tricky

See stackoverflow.com/questions/37026/java-notify-vs-notifyall-all-over-again
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- Programmers must be aware of issues with Java monitor objects
  - Monitor objects are limited
  - Choosing between `notify()` & `notifyAll()` is tricky

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uniform waiters</th>
<th>Only one condition expression that <code>wait()</code> is waiting for is associated with the monitor object &amp; each thread executes the same logic when returning from <code>wait()</code></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One-in &amp; one-out</td>
<td>A <code>notify()</code> on the monitor object enables at most one thread to proceed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Conditions under which `notify()` can be used*
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- Programmers must be aware of issues with Java monitor objects
  - Monitor objects are limited
  - Choosing between notify() & notifyAll() is tricky

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uniform waiters</th>
<th>Only one condition expression that wait() is waiting for is associated with the monitor object &amp; each thread executes the same logic when returning from wait()</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One-in &amp; one-out</td>
<td>A notify() on the monitor object enables at most one thread to proceed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Conditions under which notify() can be used*
• Programmers must be aware of issues with Java monitor objects
  • Monitor objects are limited
  • Choosing between notify() & notifyAll() is tricky
    • Use notify() when possible since it’s more efficient & avoids the “Thundering Herd” problem..

See [en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Thundering_herd_problem](en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Thundering_herd_problem)
Usage Considerations of Java Monitor Objects

• Programmers must be aware of issues with Java monitor objects
  • Monitor objects are limited
  • Choosing between notify() & notifyAll() is tricky
    • Use notify() when possible since it’s more efficient & avoids the “Thundering Herd” problem..
    • However, notifyAll() is often needed since there’s just one wait queue..

A monitor object may need to wait for different condition expression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Producer</th>
<th>Consumer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SimpleBlockingBoundedQueue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>synchronized put()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>synchronized take()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;&lt;contains&gt;&gt; 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wait Queue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wait()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>notify()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>notifyAll()</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entrance Queue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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  - Monitor objects are limited
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  - Fairness issues arise due to the order in which waiting threads are notified
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Programmers must be aware of issues with Java monitor objects

- Monitor objects are limited
- Choosing between notify() & notifyAll() is tricky
- Fairness issues arise due to the order in which waiting threads are notified
  - Monitor object’s implement “haphazard notification” to optimize performance
- The Specific Notification pattern can be applied to control ordering

See www.dre.vanderbilt.edu/~schmidt/PDF/specific-notification.pdf
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- Programmers must be aware of issues with Java monitor objects
  - Monitor objects are limited
  - Choosing between notify() & notifyAll() is tricky
  - Fairness issues arise due to the order in which waiting threads are notified
    - Monitor object’s implement “haphazard notification” to optimize performance
  - The Specific Notification pattern can be applied to control ordering
    - i.e., programmatically choose a particular thread to run from a family of waiting threads

```
Producer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SimpleBlockingBoundedQueue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>synchronized put()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>synchronized take()</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wait Queue

- wait()
- notify()
- notifyAll()

Entrance Queue

Consumer

- put()
- take()
```

Producer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consumer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>put()</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>take()</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<<contains>> 1

<<contains>> 1
Usage Considerations of Java Monitor Objects

- In practice, you often need more than Java’s Java monitor mechanisms

- `java.util.concurrent` & `java.util.concurrent.locks`

```
package

java.util.concurrent.locks

Interfaces and classes providing a framework for locking and waiting for conditions that is distinct from built-in synchronization and monitors. The framework permits much greater flexibility in the use of locks and conditions, at the expense of more awkward syntax. The `Lock` interface supports locking disciplines that differ in semantics (reentrant, fair, etc), and that can be used in non-block-structured contexts including hand-over-hand and lock reordering algorithms. The main implementation is `ReentrantLock`.
```

```
package

java.util.concurrent

Utility classes commonly useful in concurrent programming. This package includes a few small standardized extensible frameworks, as well as some classes that provide useful functionality and are otherwise tedious or difficult to implement. Here are brief descriptions of the main components. See also the `java.util.concurrent.locks` and `java.util.concurrent.atomic` packages.
```

In practice, you often need more than Java’s Java monitor mechanisms

- java'util.concurrent &
  java'util.concurrent.locks
- e.g., ReentrantLock &
  ConditionObject

```java
class ArrayBlockingQueue<E> extends AbstractQueue<E>
    implements BlockingQueue<E>,
    java.io.Serializable {
...
/** Main lock guarding access */
    final ReentrantLock lock;

/** Condition for waiting takes */
    private final Condition notEmpty;

/** Condition for waiting puts */
    private final Condition notFull;

...
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- In practice, you often need more than Java’s Java monitor mechanisms
  - java.util.concurrent & java.util.concurrent.locks
- Android concurrency frameworks

See developer.android.com/guide/components/processes-and-threads.html#Threads
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• In practice, you often need more than Java’s Java monitor mechanisms
  • java.util.concurrent & java.util.concurrent.locks

• Android concurrency frameworks
  • Message passing may avoid need for monitor objects & synchronization altogether

End of Java Monitor Objects: Usage Considerations