

Understand the Pros & Cons of Synchrony

Douglas C. Schmidt


d.schmidt@vanderbilt.edu

www.dre.vanderbilt.edu/~schmidt

Professor of Computer Science

**Institute for Software
Integrated Systems**

**Vanderbilt University
Nashville, Tennessee, USA**



Learning Objectives in this Part of the Lesson


- Motivate the need for Java futures by understanding the pros & cons of synchrony



Overview of Synchrony & Synchronous Operations

Overview of Synchrony & Synchronous Operations


- Method calls in typical Java programs are largely *synchronous*



e.g., calls on Java collections & behaviors in Java stream aggregate operations

Overview of Synchrony & Synchronous Operations


- Method calls in typical Java programs are largely *synchronous*
- i.e., a callee borrows the thread of its caller until its computation(s) finish



Overview of Synchrony & Synchronous Operations

- Method calls in typical Java programs are largely *synchronous*
- i.e., a callee borrows the thread of its caller until its computation(s) finish & a result is returned

Note "request/response" nature of these calls



The Pros of Synchrony


The Pros of Synchrony

- Pros of synchronous calls




The Pros of Synchrony

- Pros of synchronous calls
 - “Intuitive” to program & debug





The Pros of Synchrony

- Pros of synchronous calls
 - “Intuitive” to program & debug, e.g.
 - Maps onto common two-way method patterns



The Pros of Synchrony

- Pros of synchronous calls
 - “Intuitive” to program & debug, e.g.
 - Maps onto common two-way method patterns
 - Local caller state retained when callee returns




See wiki.c2.com/?ActivationRecord

The Pros of Synchrony

- Pros of synchronous calls
 - “Intuitive” to program & debug, e.g.
 - Maps onto common two-way method patterns
 - Local caller state retained when callee returns

```
byte[] downloadContent(URL url) {  
    byte[] buf = new byte[BUFSIZ];  
    ByteArrayOutputStream os =  
        new ByteArrayOutputStream();  
    InputStream is = url.openStream();  
  
    for (int bytes;  
        (bytes = is.read(buf)) > 0;)  
        os.write(buf, 0, bytes); ...  
}
```



See wiki.c2.com/?ActivationRecord

The Cons of Synchrony



The Cons of Synchrony

- Cons of synchronous calls



The Cons of Synchrony


- Cons of synchronous calls
 - May not leverage all parallelism available in multi-core systems



See www.ibm.com/developerworks/library/j-jvmc3

The Cons of Synchrony

- Cons of synchronous calls
 - May not leverage all parallelism available in multi-core systems
 - Blocking threads incur overhead
 - e.g., synchronization, context switching, data movement, & memory management costs



See www.ibm.com/developerworks/library/j-jvmc3

The Cons of Synchrony

- Cons of synchronous calls
 - May not leverage all parallelism available in multi-core systems
 - Blocking threads incur overhead
 - Selecting right # of threads is hard

```
List<Image> filteredImages = urls
    .parallelStream()
    .filter(not(this::urlCached))
    .map(this::downloadImage)
    .flatMap(this::applyFilters)
    .collect(toList());
```



*Efficient
Performance*


*Efficient
Resource
Utilization*

```
Image downloadImage(URL url){
    return new Image(url,
        downloadContent(url));
}
```

The Cons of Synchrony

- Cons of synchronous calls
 - May not leverage all parallelism available in multi-core systems
 - Blocking threads incur overhead
 - Selecting right # of threads is hard

```
List<Image> filteredImages = urls
    .parallelStream()
    .filter(not(this::urlCached))
    .map(this::downloadImage)
    .flatMap(this::applyFilters)
    .collect(toList());
```



*Efficient
Performance*


*Efficient
Resource
Utilization*

A large # of threads may help to improve performance, but can also waste resources

The Cons of Synchrony

- Cons of synchronous calls
 - May not leverage all parallelism available in multi-core systems
 - Blocking threads incur overhead
 - Selecting right # of threads is hard

```
List<Image> filteredImages = urls
    .parallelStream()
    .filter(not(this::urlCached))
    .map(this::downloadImage)
    .flatMap(this::applyFilters)
    .collect(toList());
```




*Efficient
Performance*

*Efficient
Resource
Utilization*

*A small # of threads may conserve
resources at the cost of performance*


The Cons of Synchrony

- Cons of synchronous calls
 - May not leverage all parallelism available in multi-core systems
 - Blocking threads incur overhead
 - Selecting right # of threads is hard



*Efficient
Performance*

*Efficient
Resource
Utilization*



Particularly tricky for I/O-bound programs that need more threads to run efficiently

The Cons of Synchrony


- Cons of synchronous calls
 - May not leverage all parallelism available in multi-core systems
 - May need to change the size of the common fork-join pool



See lesson on “*The Java Fork-Join Pool: Maximizing Core Utilization w/the Common Fork-Join Pool*”

The Cons of Synchrony

- Cons of synchronous calls
 - May not leverage all parallelism available in multi-core systems
 - May need to change the size of the common fork-join pool, e.g.
 - Set a system property



```
String desiredThreads = "10";  
System.setProperty  
    ("java.util.concurrent." +  
     "ForkJoinPool.common." +  
     "parallelism",  
     desiredThreads);
```



It's hard to estimate the total # of threads to set in the common fork-join pool

The Cons of Synchrony

- Cons of synchronous calls
 - May not leverage all parallelism available in multi-core systems
 - May need to change the size of the common fork-join pool, e.g.
 - Set a system property
 - Or use the ManagedBlocker to increase common pool size automatically/temporarily

ManagedBlockers can only be used with the common fork-join pool..



End of Understand the Pros & Cons of Synchrony