Design Principles

Motivation: Goals of the Design Phase (1/2)

- Decompose system into components
  - *i.e.*, identify the software architecture
- Determine relationships between components
  - *e.g.*, identify component dependencies
- Determine intercomponent communication mechanisms
  - *e.g.*, globals, function calls, shared memory, IPC/RPC
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Motivation: Goals of the Design Phase (2/2)

- Specify component interfaces
  - Interfaces should be well-defined
    - Facilitates component testing and team communication
- Describe component functionality
  - *e.g.*, informally or formally
- Identify opportunities for systematic reuse
  - Both top-down and bottom-up
In the design process the orientation moves from
– Customer to developer
– What to how
Macros steps include:
1. **Preliminary Design**
   – External design describes the real-world model
   – Architectural design decomposes the requirement specification into software subsystems
2. **Detailed Design**
   – Specify each subsystem
   – Further decomposed subsystems, if necessary

**Micro Steps in the Design Process**

Given a requirements spec, design is an iterative decision process with the following general steps:
1. List the hard decisions and decisions likely to change
2. Design a component specification to hide each such decision
   – Make decisions that apply to whole program family first
   – Modularize remaining difficult decisions first
   – Then modularize remaining easy decisions
3. Design the use hierarchy as you do this (include reuse decisions)
4. Treat each higher-level component as a specification and apply above process to each
5. Continue refining until all design decisions are:
   – Hidden in a component
   – Contain easily comprehensible components
   – Provide individual, independent, low-level implementation assignments
   – Include reusable components

**Web server design decisions**
– Portability issues
– I/O demuxing and concurrency
– HTTP protocol processing
– File access

**Web server components**
– Event dispatcher
– Protocol handler
– Cached virtual filesystem

**Example: Designing a Web Server**

---

**Key Design Concepts and Principles**

Key design concepts and design principles include:

1. **Decomposition**
2. **Abstraction and information hiding**
3. **Component modularity**
4. **Extensibility**
5. **Virtual machine architectures**
6. **Hierarchical relationships**
7. **Program families and subsets**

Main goal of these concepts and principles is to:

– Manage software system complexity
– Improve software quality factors
– Facilitate systematic reuse
– Resolve common design challenges

---
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**Challenge 1: Determining the Web Server Architecture**

- **Context:** A large and complex production web server
- **Problems:**
  - Designing the web server as a large monolithic entity is tedious and error-prone
  - Web server developers must work concurrently to improve productivity
  - Portability and resusability are important quality factors

**Solution: Decomposition**

Decomposition handles complexity by splitting large problems into smaller problems. This “divide and conquer” concept is common to all life-cycle processes and design techniques.

**Basic methodology:**

1. Select a piece of the problem (initially, the whole problem)
2. Determine the components in this piece using a design paradigm, e.g., functional, structured, object-oriented, generic, etc.
3. Describe the components interactions
4. Repeat steps 1 through 3 until some termination criteria is met
   - e.g., customer is satisfied, run out of time/money, etc. ;-)

**Decomposition Example: Web Server Framework**

- **Features**
  - High-performance
  - Flexible concurrency, demuxing, and caching mechanisms
  - Uses frameworks based on ACE

---

**Object-Oriented Decomposition Principles**

1. Don’t design components to correspond to execution steps
   - Since design decisions usually transcend execution time
2. Decompose so as to limit the effect of any one design decision on the rest of the system
   - Anything that permeates the system will be expensive to change
3. Components should be specified by all information needed to use the component
   - and *nothing more!*
Challenge 2: Implementing a Flexible Web Server

- **Context:** The requirements that a production web server must meet will change over time, e.g.:
  - New platforms
  - New compilers
  - New functionality
  - New performance goals

- **Problems:**
  - If the web server is "hard coded" using low-level system calls it will be hard to port
  - If web server developers write software that's tightly coupled with internal implementation details the software will be hard to evolve

Solution: Abstraction

- Abstraction manages complexity by emphasizing essential characteristics and suppressing implementation details
- Allows postponement of certain design decisions that occur at various levels of analysis, e.g.,
  - Representational and algorithmic considerations
  - Architectural and structural considerations
  - External environment and platform considerations

Information Hiding

- Information hiding is an important means of achieving abstraction
  - *i.e.*, design decisions that are subject to change should be hidden behind abstract interfaces
- Application software should communicate only through well-defined interfaces
- Each interface should be specified by as little information as possible
- If internal details change, clients should be minimally affected
  - May not even require recompilation and relinking...
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#### Typical Information to be Hidden

- **Data representations**
  - *i.e.*, using abstract data types
- **Algorithms**
  - *e.g.*, sorting or searching techniques
- **Input and Output Formats**
  - Machine dependencies, *e.g.*, byte-ordering, character codes
- **Lower-level interfaces**
  - *e.g.*, ordering of low-level operations, *i.e.*, process sequence
- **Separating policy and mechanism**
  - Multiple policies can be implemented by same mechanisms
    - *e.g.*, OS scheduling and virtual memory paging
  - Same policy can be implemented by multiple mechanisms
    - *e.g.*, reliable communication service can be provided by multiple protocols

### Information Hiding Example: Message Queueing

- **Message Queue** is a list of **ACE_Message_Blocks**
  - Efficiently handles arbitrarily-large message payloads
- **Design encapsulates and parameterizes various aspects**
  - *e.g.*, synchronization, memory allocators, and reference counting can be added transparently

### ACE_Message_Block Class

**Class characteristics**

- Hide messaging implementations from clients

### ACE_Message_Queue Class

**Class characteristics**

- Note how the synchronization aspect can be strategized!
**Challenge 3: Determining the Units of Web Server Decomposition**

- **Context:** A production web server that uses abstraction and information hiding
- **Problems:**
  - Need to determine the appropriate units of decomposition, which should
    * Possess well-specified abstract interfaces and
    * Have high cohesion and low coupling

**Solution: Component Modularity**

- A modular system is one that’s structured into identifiable abstractions called components
  - A software entity that represents an abstraction
  - A “work” assignment for developers
  - A unit of code that
    * has one or more names
    * has identifiable boundaries
    * can be (re-)used by other components
    * encapsulates data
    * hides unnecessary details
    * can be separately compiled

**Designing Component Interfaces**

- A component interface consists of several types of ports:
  - **Exports**
    * Services provided to other components, e.g., facets and event sources
  - **Imports**
    * Services requested from other components, e.g., receptacles and event sinks
  - **Access Control**
    * Not all clients are equal, e.g., protected/private/public
- **Define components that provide multiple interfaces and implementations**
- **Anticipate change**

**Component Modularity Example: Stream Processing**

- A Stream allows flexible configuration of layered processing modules
- A Stream component contains a stack of Module components
- Each Module contains two Task components
  - *i.e.*, read and write Tasks
- Each Task contains a Message Queue component and a Thread Manager component
**Benefits of Component Modularity**

Modularity facilitates software quality factors, *e.g.*,:
- **Extensibility** → well-defined, abstract interfaces
- **Reusability** → low-coupling, high-cohesion
- **Compatibility** → design “bridging” interfaces
- **Portability** → hide machine dependencies

Modularity is important for good designs since it:
- Enhances for *separation of concerns*
- Enables developers to reduce overall system complexity via decentralized software architectures
- Increases *scalability* by supporting independent and concurrent development by multiple personnel

**Criteria for Evaluating Modular Designs**

- **Component decomposability**: Are larger components decomposed into smaller components?
- **Component composability**: Are larger components composed from existing smaller components?
- **Component understandability**: Are components separately understandable?
- **Component continuity**: Do small changes to the specification affect a localized and limited number of components?
- **Component protection**: Are the effects of run-time abnormalities confined to a small number of related components?

**Principles for Ensuring Modular Designs**

- **Language support for components**: Components should correspond to syntactic units in the language
- **Few interfaces**: Every component should communicate with as few others as possible
- **Small interfaces (weak coupling)**: If any two components communicate at all, they should exchange as little information as possible

**Explicit Interfaces**
- Whenever two components A and B communicate, this must be obvious from the text of A or B or both

**Information Hiding**
- All information about a component should be private unless it’s specifically declared public

**Challenge 4: “Future Proofing” the Web Server**

- **Context**: A production web server whose requirements will change over time

- **Problems**:
  - Certain design aspects seem constant until they are examined in the overall structure of an application
  - Developers must be able to easily refactor the web server to account for new sources of variation
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**Solution: Extensibility**

- Extensible software is important to support successions of quick updates and additions to address new requirements and take advantage of emerging opportunities/markets
- Extensible components must be both open and closed, i.e., the “open/closed” principle:
  - **Open component** → still available for extension
    - This is necessary since the requirements and specifications are rarely completely understood from the system’s inception
  - **Closed component** → available for use by other components
    - This is necessary since code sharing becomes unmanageable when reopening a component triggers many changes

**Extensibility Example: Active Object Tasks**

- **Features**
  - Tasks can register with a Reactor
  - They can be dynamically linked
  - They can queue data
  - They can run as “active objects”
- JAWS uses inheritance and dynamic binding to produce task components that are both open and closed

**Challenge 5: Separating Concerns for Layered Systems**

- **Context:** A production web server whose requirements will change over time
- **Problems:**
  - To enhance reuse and flexibility, it is often necessary to decompose a web server into smaller, more manageable units that are layered in order to
    - Enhance reuse, e.g., multiple higher-layer services can share lower-layer services
    - Transparency and incrementally enhancement functionality
    - Improve performance by allowing the selective omission of unnecessary service functionality
    - Improve implementations, testing, and maintenance
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### Virtual Machine Layers for the ACE Toolkit

**Networked Service Components Layer**
- Token Server
- Name Server
- Time Server

**Framework Layer**
- Acceptor
- Connector
- CORBA Handler

**C++ Wrapper Facade Layer**
- Process/Thread Wrappers
- Synch Wrappers
- Log Wrappers
- Socket Wrappers
- Shared Malloc

**OS Adaptation Layer**
- General Operating System Services

**Java Virtual Machine (JVM)**
- Abstracts away from details of the underlying OS

---

**Other Examples of Virtual Machines**

- **Computer architectures**
  - e.g., compiler → assembler → obj code → microcode → gates, transistors, signals, etc.

- **Operating systems**
  - e.g., Linux

- **Hardware Machine**
  - Software Virtual Machine
    - set of system calls
    - interruptible system calls
    - set of interrupt handlers
    - signal handlers
    - masking signals
    - interruption stack

---

### Challenge 6: Separating Concerns for Hierarchical Systems

- **Context**: A production web server whose requirements will change over time
- **Problems**:
  - Developers need to program components at different levels of abstraction independently
  - Changes to one set of components should be isolated as much as possible from other components
  - Need to be able to “visualize” the structure of the web server design

### Solution: Hierarchical Relationships

- Hierarchies reduce component interactions by restricting the topology of relationships
- A relation defines a hierarchy if it partitions units into levels (note connection to virtual machine architectures)
  - Level 0 is the set of all units that use no other units
  - Level $i$ is the set of all units that use at least one unit at level $< i$ and no unit at level $\geq i$.
- Hierarchies form the basis of architectures and designs
  - Facilitates independent development
  - Isolates ramifications of change
  - Allows rapid prototyping

---

**Design Principles**

- www.cs.wustl.edu/~schmidt/ACE.html
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**Hierarchy Example: JAWS Architecture**

**REQUEST PROCESSING LAYER**

- svc_run
- svc_run
- svc_run

**QUEUEING LAYER**

- HTTP Processor
- HTTP Handler
- HTTP Handler
- Options

**I/O DEMUXING LAYER**

- Reactor
- HTTP Acceptor

---
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### The Uses Relation (1/3)

- **Uses Relation (1/3)**
  - **Class Y**
  - **Class X**
  - A uses relation does not necessarily yield a hierarchy (avoid cycles...)

### The Uses Relation (2/3)

- **The Uses Relation (2/3)**
  - Allow X to use Y when:
    - X is simpler because it uses Y
    - Y is not substantially more complex because it is not allowed to use X
    - There is a useful subset containing Y and not X
    - Some uses do not involve invocations
    - Some uses do not involve invocations
    - Uses relationships can exist between classes, frameworks, subsystems, etc.

### Defining Hierarchies

- **Defining Hierarchies**
  - Relations that define hierarchies include:
    - Uses
    - Is-Composed-Of
    - Is-A
    - Has-A
  - The first two are general to all design methods, the latter two are more particular to OO design and programming
A hierarchy in the uses relation is essential for designing reusable software systems. However, certain software systems require controlled violation of a uses hierarchy, e.g., asynchronous communication protocols, OO callbacks in frameworks, signal handling, etc. Upcalls are one way to control these non-hierarchical dependencies (i.e., calls) that are not uses relationships.

Rule of thumb: Start with an invocation hierarchy and eliminate those invocations (i.e., “calls”) that are not uses relationships.

Components and levels need not be identical, as a component may appear in several levels of a uses hierarchy. Many programming languages support the is-composed-of relation via some higher-level component or record structuring technique.

Components and levels need not be identical, as a component may appear in several levels of a uses hierarchy. Many programming languages support the is-composed-of relation via some higher-level component or record structuring technique.

The is-composed-of relationship shows how the system is broken down in components. A class X possesses an is-A relationship with class Y if instances of class X are specialization of class Y.

E.g., an HTTP_1_0_Handler is an ace_event_handler that is specialized for processing HTTP 1.0 requests.

The is-A relation

- This “ancestor/descendant” relationship is associated with object-oriented design and programming languages that possess inheritance and dynamic binding.
- Class X possesses an is-A relationship with class Y if instances of class X are specialization of class Y.

E.g., an HTTP_1_0_Handler is an ace_event_handler that is specialized for processing HTTP 1.0 requests.

The is-A relation

- This “ancestor/descendant” relationship is associated with object-oriented design and programming languages that possess inheritance and dynamic binding.
- Class X possesses an is-A relationship with class Y if instances of class X are specialization of class Y.

E.g., an HTTP_1_0_Handler is an ace_event_handler that is specialized for processing HTTP 1.0 requests.

The uses relation is essential for designing reusable software systems. However, certain software systems require controlled violation of a uses hierarchy, e.g., asynchronous communication protocols, OO callbacks in frameworks, signal handling, etc. Upcalls are one way to control these non-hierarchical dependencies (i.e., “calls”) that are not uses relationships.

Rule of thumb: Start with an invocation hierarchy and eliminate those invocations (i.e., “calls”) that are not uses relationships.

Components and levels need not be identical, as a component may appear in several levels of a uses hierarchy. Many programming languages support the is-composed-of relation via some higher-level component or record structuring technique.
The Has-A Relation

This "client" relationship is associated with object-oriented design and programming languages that possess classes and objects. A class X possesses a Has-A relationship with another class Y if instances of class X contain an instance(s) of class Y. For example, the JAWS web server has a Reactor, HTTP_Acceptor, and CV_Filesystem.
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Challenge 7: Enabling Expansion and Contraction of Software

- Context: A production web server whose requirements will change over time
- Problems:
  - It may be necessary to reduce the overall functionality of the server to run in resource-constrained environments
  - To meet externally imposed schedules, it may be necessary to release the server without all the features enabled

Solution: Program Families and Subsets

- This principle should be applied to facilitate extension and contraction of large-scale software systems, particularly reusable middleware infrastructure
  - e.g., JAWS, ACE, etc.
- Program families are a natural way to detect and implement subsets
  - Minimize footprints for embedded systems
  - Promotes system reusability
  - Anticipates potential changes
- Heuristics for identifying subsets:
  - Analyze requirements to identify minimally useful subsets
  - Also identify minimal increments to subsets

Example of Program Families: JAWS and TAO

TAO is a high-performance, real-time implementation of the CORBA specification that implements the HTTP specification. JAWS is a high-performance, adaptive Web server that implements the HTTP specification. JAWS and TAO were developed using the wrapper facades and frameworks provided by the ACE toolkit.
Other Examples of Program Families and Subsets

- Different services for different markets
  - e.g., different alphabets, different vertical applications, different I/O formats
- Different hardware or software platforms
  - e.g., compilers or OSs
- Different resource trade-offs
  - e.g., speed vs space
- Different internal resources
  - e.g., shared data structures and library routines
- Different external events
  - e.g., UNIX I/O device interface
- Backward compatibility
  - e.g., sometimes it is important to retain bugs!

Conventional Development Processes

- Waterfall Model
  - Specify, analyze, implement, test (in sequence)
  - Assumes that requirements can be specified up front
- Spiral Model
  - Supports iterative development
  - Attempts to assess risks of changes
- Rapid Application Development
  - Build a prototype
  - Ship it :-)

Agile Processes

- Stresses customer satisfaction, and therefore, involvement
  - Provide what the customer wants, as quickly as possible
  - Provide only what the customer wants
- Encourages changes in requirements
- Relies on testing
- For example, eXtreme Programming practices
  - Planning, designing, coding, testing

eXtreme Programming: Planning

- Start with user stories
  - Written by customers, to specify system requirements
  - Minimal detail, typically just a few sentences on a card
  - Expected development time: 1 to 3 weeks each, roughly
- Planning game creates commitment schedule for entire project
- Each iteration should take 2-3 weeks
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**eXtreme Programming: Designing**

- Defer design decisions as long as possible

**Advantages:**
- Simplifies current task (just build what is needed)
- You don’t need to maintain what you haven’t built
- Time is on your side: you’re likely to learn something useful by the time you need to decide
- Tomorrow may never come: if a feature isn’t needed now, it might never be needed

**Disadvantages:**
- Future design decisions may require rework of existing implementation
- Ramp-up time will probably be longer later
  * Therefore, always try to keep designs as simple as possible

---
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**eXtreme Programming: Coding**

- **Pair programming**
  - *Always* code with a partner
  - *Always* test as you code

- Pair programming pays off by supporting good implementation, reducing mistakes, and exposing more than one programmer to the design/implementation
- If any deficiencies in existing implementation are noticed, either fix them or note that they need to be fixed

---
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**eXtreme Programming: Testing**

- Unit tests are written before code
- Code must pass both its unit test and all regression tests before committing
- In effect, the test suite defines the system requirements
  - Significant difference from other development approaches
  - If a bug is found, a test for it must be added
  - If a feature isn’t tested, it can be removed

---
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**Agile Processes: Information Sources**

- http://www.extremeprogramming.org/
- http://www.xprogramming.com/
Design Guidelines: Motivation

- Design is the process of organizing structured solutions to tasks from a problem domain
- This process is carried out in many disciplines, in many ways
  - There are many similarities and commonalities among design processes
  - There are also many common design mistakes . . .
- The following pages provide a number of “design rules.”
  - Remember, these rules are simply suggestions on how to better organize your design process, not a recipe for success!

Common Design Mistakes (1/2)

- Depth-first design
  - only partially satisfy the requirements
  - experience is best cure for this problem . . .
- Directly refining requirements specification
  - leads to overly constrained, inefficient designs
- Failure to consider potential changes
  - always design for extension and contraction
- Making the design too detailed
  - this overconstrains the implementation

Common Design Mistakes (2/2)

- Ambiguously stated design
  - misinterpreted at implementation
- Undocumented design decisions
  - designers become essential to implementation
- Inconsistent design
  - results in a non-integratable system, because separately developed modules don’t fit together

Rules of Design (1/8)

- Make sure that the problem is well-defined
  - All design criteria, requirements, and constraints, should be enumerated before a design is started
  - This may require a “spiral model” approach
- What comes before how
  - i.e., define the service to be performed at every level of abstraction before deciding which structures should be used to realize the services
- Separate orthogonal concerns
  - Do not connect what is independent
  - Important at many levels and phases . . .
**Rules of Design (2/8)**

- **Design external functionality before internal functionality.**
  - First consider the solution as a black-box and decide how it should interact with its environment.
  - Then decide how the black-box can be internally organized. Likely it consists of smaller black-boxes that can be refined in a similar fashion.
- **Keep it simple.**
  - Fancy designs are buggier than simple ones; they are harder to implement, harder to verify, and often less efficient.
  - Problems that appear complex are often just simple problems huddled together.
  - Our job as designers is to identify the simpler problems, separate them, and then solve them individually.

**Rules of Design (3/8)**

- **Work at multiple levels of abstraction**
  - Good designers must be able to move between various levels of abstraction quickly and easily.
- **Design for extensibility**
  - A good design is “open-ended,” i.e., easily extendible.
  - A good design solves a class of problems rather than a single instance.
  - Do not introduce what is immaterial.
  - Do not restrict what is irrelevant.
- **Use rapid prototyping when applicable**
  - Before implementing a design, build a high-level prototype and verify that the design criteria are met.

**Rules of Design (4/8)**

- **Details should depend upon abstractions.**
  - Abstractions should not depend upon details.
  - Principle of Dependency Inversion.
- **The granule of reuse is the same as the granule of release**
  - Only components that are released through a tracking system can be effectively reused.
- **Classes within a released component should share common closure**
  - That is, if one needs to be changed, they all are likely to need to be changed.
  - *i.e.*, what affects one, affects all.

**Rules of Design (5/8)**

- **Classes within a released component should be reused together**
  - That is, it is impossible to separate the components from each other in order to reuse less than the total.
- **The dependency structure for released components must be a DAG**
  - There can be no cycles.
- **Dependencies between released components must run in the direction of stability**
  - The dependee must be more stable than the depender.
- **The more stable a released component is, the more it must consist of abstract classes.**
  - A completely stable component should consist of nothing but abstract classes.
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**Rules of Design (6/8)**
- Where possible, use proven patterns to solve design problems
- When crossing between two different paradigms, build an interface layer that separates the two
  - Don’t pollute one side with the paradigm of the other

**Rules of Design (7/8)**
- Software entities (classes, modules, etc) should be open for extension, but closed for modification
  - The Open/Closed principle – Bertrand Meyer
- Derived classes must usable through the base class interface without the need for the user to know the difference
  - The Liskov Substitution Principle

**Rules of Design (8/8)**
- *Make it work correctly, then make it work fast*
  - Implement the design, measure its performance, and if necessary, optimize it
- *Maintain consistency between representations*
  - e.g., check that the final optimized implementation is equivalent to the high-level design that was verified
  - Also important for documentation . . .
- Don’t skip the preceding rules!
  - Clearly, this is the most frequently violated rule!!! ;-)